

So, How Do Radio Pulsars Slow-Down?

V. S. Beskin^{1,2}

¹Lebedev Physical Institute, Moscow, Russia

²Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology, Dolgoprudny, Russia

In 1983 our team has shown that for zero longitudinal electric current circulating in the pulsar magnetosphere, the energy losses W_{tot} vanish for any inclination angle χ [1]. This effect (confirmed later by L.Mestel group [2]) results from full screening of the magneto-dipole radiation by the magnetospheric plasma. This implies that the pulsar braking results solely from the impact of the torque \mathbf{K} due to the longitudinal currents.

On the other hand, rotating magnetized star can be slowed down only due to action of the Ampère force associated with surface currents \mathbf{J}_s : $W_{\text{tot}} = -\Omega\mathbf{K}$, where

$$\mathbf{K} = \frac{R^3}{c} \int \mathbf{J}_s(\mathbf{B}\mathbf{n}) d\sigma = \frac{R^3}{4\pi} \int \{[\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B}^{(3)}](\mathbf{B}^{(0)}\mathbf{n}) + [\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B}^{(0)}](\mathbf{B}^{(3)}\mathbf{n})\} d\sigma. \quad (1)$$

Here indices (0, 3) correspond to expansion powers in terms of the small parameter $\varepsilon = \Omega R/c$. Careful analysis for the vacuum magneto-dipole radiation shows surprisingly that in the Landau-Lifshitz solution both terms play a role, while in the Deutsch solution only the first one does (giving, certainly, the same well-known result).

Returning to the magnetosphere filled with plasma, one can find that the torque acting on the star via the surface currents \mathbf{J}_s closing the longitudinal electric currents [1]

$$K_{\parallel}^{\text{sur}} \approx -\frac{\mathbf{m}^2\Omega^3}{c^3} i_s, \quad K_{\perp}^{\text{sur}} \approx -\frac{\mathbf{m}^2\Omega^3}{c^3} \left(\frac{\Omega R}{c}\right) i_a, \quad I_r \dot{\Omega} = K_{\parallel}^{\text{A}} + (K_{\perp}^{\text{A}} - K_{\parallel}^{\text{A}}) \sin^2 \chi, \quad (2)$$

corresponds to the first term in (1). Here we have introduced two components of the torque \mathbf{K} , parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic dipole \mathbf{m} . Besides, the dimensionless current $i = j_{\parallel}/j_{\text{GJ}}$ (normalized to the ‘local’ Goldreich-Julian current density $j_{\text{GJ}} = |\boldsymbol{\Omega} \cdot \mathbf{B}|/2\pi$ with the scalar product) is also separated into symmetric and antisymmetric contributions, i_s and i_a , depending on whether the direction of the current in the north and south parts of the polar cap is the same or opposite.

Hence, to satisfy the Spitkovsky’s relation $\dot{\Omega} \propto (1 + \sin^2 \chi)$ we have to assume too large antisymmetric current $i_a \sim \varepsilon^{-1}$ while in reality $i_a \sim \varepsilon^{-1/2}$. Thus, it is necessary to introduce an additional contribution resulting from the mismatch between the magneto-dipole and magnetospheric radiation and corresponding to the second term in (1)

$$K_{\perp}^{\text{mag}} = -A \frac{B_0^2 \Omega^3 R^6}{c^3} i_a. \quad (3)$$

For $i_a \sim \varepsilon^{-1/2}$ we obtain $A \sim \varepsilon^{1/2}$. This implies that for local GJ current $i_a \approx 1$, for most inclination angles, one can neglect the additional term K_{\perp}^{mag} , as was done in [1].

References

- [1] V. S. Beskin, A. V. Gurevich & Ya. N. Istomin, 1983, Sov. Phys. JETP 58, 235
- [2] Mestel, L., Panagi, P., & Shibata, S. 1999, MNRAS, 309, 388